CHEMICALLY INDUCED DYNAMIC NUCLEAR POLARIZATION, III¹

¹³C-POLARIZATION OBSERVED DURING REDUCTION OF DIAZONIUM SALTS, USING THE PULSE FOURIER TRANSFORM TECHNIQUE.

Stefan Berger, Sigrid Hauff, Paul Niederer, and Anton Rieker* Chemisches Institut der Universität, D 74 Tübingen

(Received in UK 13 April 1972; accepted for publication 18 May 1972)

There is a steady increase in the number of reactions in which the phenomenon of CIDNP-is observed, providing evidence for the existence of radical pairs as precursors of diamagnetic species². In proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy the CIDNP-effect can readily be observed with conventional NMR-spectrometer systems. For the organic chemist, the carbon atom is of even more importance. Unfortunately the magnetic carbon isotope ¹³C is present only in 1 % natural abundance. Recently, however, Lippmaa and coworkers³ have shown that the enhancement factor in ¹³C polarization is high. Therefore, it should be possible to observe ¹³C-CIDNP without ¹³C enrichment in systems showing ¹H-CIDNP. For the interpretation of CIDNP effects ¹³C measurements would have some advantages:

- By using complete proton decoupling multiplet effects will disappear. Furthermore, carbon-carbon coupling is not observed. Therefore one can expect simple line spectra in ¹³C-CIDNP.
- (ii) According to the experiments published so far³, it seems that in a radical only few carbon atoms (those coupled with the free electron) are strongly polarized.

In fast reactions, however, the conventional continuous wave sweep technique permits one to accumulate the 13 C spectrum only within a small frequency range. Examples of such reactions are the reduction of diazonium¹ and iodonium⁴ salts with NaBH₄, NaOH, amines, or NaNO₂. In these cases we observed ¹H-CIDNP (emission from the protons of the arenes formed). Since these reactions do not proceed exclusively via aryl radicals, the enhancement factors for ¹H-CIDNP are

2581

moderate (we could trap only 7 % in the case of phenyl diazonium salt and NaOH¹). Indeed, we were not able to observe a well resolved ¹³C-CIDNP signal using the normal continuous wave sweep technique. The great improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio obtained by using multi-channel excitation in Pulse Fourier Transform Spectroscopy enabled us, however, to observe the ¹³C-CIDNP effect in the above reactions. In addition, this technique reveals the whole region of ¹³C-chemical shifts within seconds.

The following experimental requirements should be taken into consideration:

- (i) Since polarization of different signals varies distinctly with time, it is desirable to follow the reaction continuously. Consequently, the memory of the CAT should be divisable into several address groups. The reaction of diazonium salts with alkali, for instance, takes about 90 100 seconds (0.7 M solutions of CH₃OC₆H₄N₂ ⊕ BF₄ in CH₃CN/CD₃OD 1:1), so that in case of a fabritec signal average 1074 system, 3 accumulations (each of about 30 sec. length) may be performed.
- (ii) Normally the phase must be corrected after each Fourier transformation. This phase correction is carried out before the addition of alkali to the diazonium salt solution, after which any change of spectrometer settings should be avoided.
- (iii) The lock stability of the spectrometer must be very good, because of strong gas evolution (N_2) during all reactions of diazonium salts.

In figures 1 and 2. ¹H- and ¹³C-CIDNP measurements taken during the reaction of p-methoxyphenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate in CH₃CN with saturated methanolic NaOH solution are compared. In fig. 1a the ¹H-NMR spectrum (Varian A60A) of this diazonium salt is shown. Fig. 1b demonstrates the typical ¹H-CIDNP pattern, whereas fig. 1c shows the ¹H-NMR spectrum of anisole. It is apparent that the negative signals in 1b mainly correspond with the signals of anisole in 1c. No other polarized reaction product could be detected by proton resonance. In fig. 2a the ¹³C-PFT spectrum (Bruker HFX 90; 4096 scans) of the diazonium salt in a 1:1 CD_OD/CH_CN solution is depicted. The following assignment was adopted: 1: C-4, 2: C-3, 3: C-2, 4: CN group of acetonitrile, 5: C-1, 6: OCH3, 7: CD_3OD, 8: CH_3 of acetonitrile, 9: TMS. As a consequence of the anisotropy of the NN-triple bond, carbon atom 1 absorbes at higher field than the other ring carbon atoms. Fig. 2b shows a 75 sec. (187 scans) ¹³C-PFT-CIDNP spectrum of the above solution after the addition of NaOH. The spectrum after completion of the reaction is reproduced in fig. 2c (8192 scans, 1 hour recording), whereas fig. 2d represents the spectrum of anisole with the following assignment of lines:

No. 25



<u>1</u>: C-1, <u>2</u>: C-2, <u>3</u>: C-4, <u>4</u>: CN of acetonitrile, <u>5</u>: C-3, <u>6</u>: OCH₃, <u>7</u>: CD₃OD, <u>8</u>: CH₃ of acetonitrile, <u>9</u>: TMS.

Assignment of the lines in the CIDNP spectrum (fig. 2b): Line $\underline{3}$ belongs to the resonance of the CN group of acetonitrile, which is not polarized. The strongest negative line $\underline{2}$ corresponds to the carbon atom 4 in anisole (fig. 2d), as expected. It is apparent from the final spectrum, that anisole indeed is the main reaction product (lines $\underline{2}$, $\underline{4}$, $\underline{5}$ and $\underline{7}$ in fig. 2c). The other emission line $\underline{1}$ in the CIDNP spectrum has no counterpart in the anisole spectrum. This line should be assigned to the resonance of carbon atom $\underline{1}$ in 4,4'-dimethoxy-azobenzene, which can be isolated from the reaction mixture. Most remarkable, however, is the strong positive resonance $\underline{4}$ appearing exactly at the signal position of the carbon atom 1 of the original diazonium salt. In more than 75 % of our measurements this line was reproducible, depending on the concentration of the starting material and the velocity in mixing of the reactants. This line, therefore, should be attributed to polarized diazonium salt. We could not detect such enhanced absorption in the case of p-nitrophenyl- or phenyl-diazonium salts. From the polarization of the ring protons and of C-1 in anisole or azoanisole it

must be concluded that radical pair A is of importance. Polarized diazonium salt may have been formed: (i) From pair B by electron transfer $(CH_3OC_6H_4-N=N\cdot^5 + \cdot X) \longrightarrow CH_3OC_6H_4-N_2^{\oplus} + X^{\ominus}$) inside the cage. (ii) From pairs A or B, if $\cdot X$ is

$$H_{3}CO - \left(\begin{array}{c} C - N = N \end{array} \right) BF_{4}^{\Theta} + NaOH \Longrightarrow \left[H_{3}CO - \left(\begin{array}{c} C - N = N \cdot \cdot X \end{array} \right) \right]_{B}$$

$$H_{3}CO - \left(\begin{array}{c} C - H + H_{3}CO - \left(\begin{array}{c} C - N = N - C_{6}H_{4} - OCH_{3} \leftarrow \left[H_{3}CO - \left(\begin{array}{c} C \cdot \cdot X \end{array} \right) \right]_{A} \end{array} \right)$$

 $\cdot 0-N=N-C_6H_4OCH_3$ (Rüchardt mechanism⁶). Then, polarized $\cdot 0-N=N-C_6H_4OCH_3$ might be in equilibrium with the diazonium ion via the diazonhydride $CH_3OC_6H_4-N=N-0-N=N-C_6H_4OCH_3$ (recombination in cage B) or the diazonium hydroxide $CH_3OC_6H_4-N=N-0-H$ (escape from cage A and hydrogen uptake). According to the rules of Kaptein², the emission signals of ¹H and ¹³C atoms of anisole can be interpreted in terms of a free radical encounter of $X \cdot = \cdot 0-N=N-C_6H_4OCH_3$ and $CH_3OC_6H_4$. to form cage A $\begin{bmatrix} a_{H-ring} & and & a_{13_C} & for & CH_3OC_6H_4 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & &$

Literature

- For part II see: A. Rieker, <u>Ind.Chim.Belg.</u> <u>36</u>, 1078 (1971); part I:
 A.Rieker, P. Niederer, and D. Leibfritz, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u> <u>1969</u>, 4287;
 A.Rieker, P. Niederer, and H.B. Stegmann, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u> <u>1971</u>, 3873.
- ² H. Fischer, <u>Topics in Current Chemistry</u> <u>24</u>, 1 (1971).
- ^{3a} E. Lippmaa, T. Pehk, and T. Saluvere, <u>Ind.Chim.Belg.</u> <u>36</u>, 1070 (1971);
 ^b E. Lippmaa, T. Pehk, A.L. Buchachenko, and S.V. Rykov, <u>Chem.Phys.Letters</u> <u>5</u>, 521 (1970).
- ⁴ P. Niederer, Diplomarbeit Univ. Tübingen, 1970.
- ⁵ For polarization of N in diazene radicals see l.c.^{3b}. These radicals seem to show no proton polarization: J. Hollaender and W.P. Neumann, <u>Angew. Chem. 82</u>, 813 (1970).
- 6a C. Rüchardt and B. Freudenberg, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u> <u>1964</u>, 3623; C. Rüchardt and C.C. Tan, <u>Chem.Ber.</u> <u>103</u>, 1774 (1970).
- ^b J.I.G. Cadogan, R.M. Paton, and C. Thomson, <u>J.Chem.Soc.(B)</u> <u>1971</u>, 583.
- ⁷ P.H. Kasai, E. Hodaya, and E.B. Whipple, J.Amer.Chem.Soc. <u>91</u>, 4364 (1969).
- ⁸ This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.